

No. 9/5/84-6Lab/2781.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Ambala, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of The Haryana Roadways, Kaithal (Haryana).

IN THE COURT SHRI V. P. CHAUDHARY, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,
AMBALA AT AMBALA CITY (HARYANA)

Reference No. 178 of 1984

SHRI KADAM SINGH, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF THE HARYANA
ROADWAYS, KAITHAL (HARYANA)

Present:

None, for the workman.

Shri A. R. Goel, for the respondent.

AWARD

The Hon'ble Governor of Haryana in exercise of the powers conferred,—*vide* clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, referred the following dispute to the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Faridabad between Shri Kadam Singh, workman and the Management of The Haryana Roadways, Kaithal. The terms of the reference are as under:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Kadam Singh workman was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled to?

The reference was received by transfer from the court of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Faridabad.

Shri Kundan Lal workman alleged that he was in the service of respondent-management (General Manager, Haryana Roadways Kaithal) as a Conductor. He was illegally terminated on 1st November, 1982 in contravention of Section 25(F) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. Notice was issued to respondent. Shri A. R. Goel appeared for Respondent. Today the case was fixed for filing of claim Statement by the workman, but neither workman nor his authorised representative appeared, so the reference is dismissed in default.

V. P. CHAUDHARY,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Ambala.

Endorsement No. 687, dated the 14th March, 1985.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Financial Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

V. P. CHAUDHARY,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Ambala,

No. 9/5/84-6Lab/2782.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Ambala in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Uttam Restaurants, Workshop Road, Yamuna Nagar (Ambala).

IN THE COURT OF SHRI V. P. CHAUDHARY, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,
AMBALA (HARYANA)

Ref. No. 109 of 1984

SHRI BABLOO, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF MESSERS UTTAM
RESTAURANTS, WORKSHOP ROAD, YAMUNANAGAR (AMBALA)

Present:—

Nemo, for the workman.
None, for the Respondent.

AWARD

The Hon'ble Governor of Haryana in exercise of the powers conferred,—*vide* clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, referred the following dispute to the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Faridabad between Shri Babloo, workman and the management of Messrs Uttam Restaurants, Workshop Road, Yamunanagar the terms of the reference are as under :—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Babloo, workman was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled to ?

The reference was received by transfer from the court of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Faridabad, Babloo workman alleged that he was in the service of Messrs Uttam Restaurants, Workshop Road, Yamunanagar. He was terminated on 23rd November, 1982 in violation of provisions of Section 25(f) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. Notice was issued to respondent management, but respondent-managements abstained inspite of service so *ex parte* proceedings were taken up against the respondent.

Today the case was fixed for the *ex parte* evidence of the workman. Neither workman nor his authorised representative appeared, so reference is dismissed in default.

Dated the 12th March, 1985.

V. P. CHAUDHARY,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Ambala.

Endst. No. 688, dated the 14th March, 1985.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Financial Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

V. P. CHAUDHARY,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Ambala.

No. 9/5/84-6Lab./2783.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Ambala, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s. Uttam Restaurants, Workshop Road, Yamuna Nagar, (Ambala).

IN THE COURT OF SHRI V.P. CHAUDHARY, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, AMBALA (HARYANA)

Reference No. 111 of 1984

(Old No. 93 of 1983)

SHRI VACHASPATI WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S UTTAM RESTAURANTS, WORKSHOP ROAD, YAMUNA NAGAR (AMBALA)

Present:—

Nemo, for the workman.

None for the respondent.

AWARD

The Hon'ble Governor of Haryana in exercise of the powers conferred,—*vide* clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, referred the following dispute to the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Faridabad between Vachaspati, workman and the Management of Messrs Uttam Restaurants, Workshop Road, Yamuna Nagar. The terms of the reference are as under:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Vachaspati, workman was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled to ?

The reference was received by transfer from the court of Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Faridabad. Shri Vachaspati workman alleged that he was in the service of Messrs Uttam Restaurants, workshop Road, Yamuna Nagar. He was terminated on 23rd November, 1982 in violation of provisions of Section 25(F) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. Notice was issued to respondent management, but respondent management absented inspite of service, so *ex parte* proceedings were taken up against the respondent.

Today the case was fixed for the *ex parte* evidence of the workman. Neither workman nor his authorised representative appeared, so reference is dismissed in default.

V. P. CHAUDHARY,

Dated, the 12th March, 1985,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Ambala.

Endst. No 689, dated Ambala City, the 14th March. 1985.

Forwarded (Four copies) to the Financial Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

V. P. CHAUDHARY,

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Ambala.

No. 9/5/84/6Lab/2784.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Ambala, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Uttam Restaurants, Workshop Road, Yamuna Nagar (Ambala).

**IN THE COURT OF SHRI V. P. CHAUDHARY, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,
AMBALA (HARYANA)**

Reference No. 110 of 1984

(Old No. 98 of 1983)

**SHRI SURINDER PERSHAD WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF MESSRS UTTAM
RESTAURANTS, WORKSHOP ROAD YAMUNANAGAR (AMBALA)**

Present :

Nemo, for the workman.

None, for the respondent.

AWARD

The Hon'ble Governor of Haryana in exercise of the powers conferred,—vide clause (d) of sub-section (i) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, referred the following dispute to the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Faridabad between Surinder Pershad, workman and the management of Messrs Uttam Restaurants Workshop Road Yamunanagar. The terms of the reference are as under :—

“Whether the termination of services of Shri Surinder Pershad, workman was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled to ?”

The reference was received by transfer from the Court of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Faridabad. Shri Surinder Pershad, workman alleged that he was in the service of Messers Uttam Restaurants workshop Road, Yamuna Nagar. He was terminated on 23rd November, 1982 in violation of provisions of Section 25F of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. Notice was issued to respondent management, but respondent management absented inspite of service, so *ex parte* proceedings were taken up against the respondent.

Today the case was fixed for the *ex parte* evidence of the workman. Neither workman nor his authorised representative appeared, so reference is dismissed in default.

Dated the 12th March, 1985.

V. P. CHAUDHARY,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Ambala.

Endorsement No. 690, dated Ambala City, the 14th March, 1985.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Financial Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

V. P. CHAUDHARY,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Ambala.

No. 9/5/84-6Lab/2785.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, (Central Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Ambala, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s. Adhunik Steel Udyog, Near Water Tank, Chachrauli Gate, Jagadhri (Ambala).—

IN THE COURT OF SHRI V. P. CHAUDHARY, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT,
AMBALA, HARYANA

Reference No. 63 of 1984

SHRI NARAIN SINGH, WORKMAN, AND THE MANAGEMENT OF MESSRS
ADHUNIK STEEL UDYOG, NEAR WATER TANK, CHACHRAULI GATE,
JAGADHRI (AMBALA)

Present.—

None, for workman.
Nemo, for respondent.

AWARD

The Hon'ble Governor of Haryana in exercise of the powers conferred,—*vide* clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, referred the following dispute between Shri Narain Singh, workman and the management of Messrs Adhunik steel Udyog, Near Water Tank, Chachrauli Gate, Jagadhri. The terms of the reference are as under:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Narain Singh, workman was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled to?

Workman alleged that he had been in service of respondent. He was illegally terminated on 24th December, 1983, in contravention of Section 25(F) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. Respondent was served inspite of service, none appeared. Respondent proceeded *ex parte*. Case was fixed for *ex parte* evidence. Today neither workman nor his authorised representative appeared. Hence reference is dismissed in default.

Dated the 12th March, 1985.

V. P. CHAUDHARY,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Ambala.

Endst. No. 779, dated the 28th March, 1985.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Financial Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

V. P. CHAUDHARY,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Ambala.